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#### Abstract

The coordination polyhedron of the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ion in the title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{7}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$, is best described as a trigonal antiprism twisted $6^{\circ}$ towards a trigonal prism. The tris[4-(2-pyridyl)-3-aza-3-butenyl]amine ligand coordinates to the metal centre through the three pyridine and three imino N atoms, each set of atoms forming two parallel ideal equilateral triangles; the metal ion is located between these two planes. The average values for the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}$ and the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {imino }}$ bond lengths are 1.981 and $1.950 \AA$, respectively. The tripodal bridging amine N atom lies on the pseudo ternary axis of the molecule and is situated 3.427 (7) $\AA$ from the Fe centre.

\section*{Comment}

The potentially heptadentate tripodal ligand tris[4-(2-pyridyl)-3-aza-3-butenyl]amine (py $3_{3}$ tren) has been found to form iron(II) and manganese(II) complexes characterized by sixfold coordination of the metal ion (Kichner et al., 1987). As part of our continuing studies involving such hindered polydentate ligands and our general interest in their complexes, which may be proposed as iron or manganese superoxide mimics, we have found that the complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{py}_{3} \mathrm{tren}^{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ can be formed in two different ways: (a) by the direct mixing of $\mathrm{py}_{3}$ tren with $\mathrm{FeCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in methanol to give


compound (I), and (b) by the reaction of a methanolic solution of $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ with the polyamine ligand $\operatorname{tris}[\mathrm{N}-$ (2-pyridylmethyl)-2-aminoethyl]amine (TPAA), in the presence of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, under an air atmosphere to give compound (II). This reaction involves the oxidation of the TPAA ligand through a metal-assisted oxidative dehydrogenation.

(I)

We have shown recently that TPAA can form a heptadentate manganese(II) complex (MnTPAA) and have performed the first crystallographic characterization of the structure of this ligand (Deroche et al., 1995). In the present paper, we report the crystal structure of (I). An ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) plot of the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{py}_{3} \text { tren) }\right]^{2+}\right.$ ion is shown in Fig. 1. The coordination polyhedron of the iron(II) ion is best described as a trigonal antiprism twisted $6^{\circ}$ towards a trigonal prism. The Fe atom is bonded to three pyridine N atoms $[\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 4$ and $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 6$ are 1.983 (3), 1.980 (4) and 1.979 (3) $\AA$, respec-


Fig. 1. An ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) drawing of the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{7}\right)\right]^{2+}$ cation with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.
tively] and three imino N atoms $[\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 3$ and $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 5$ are 1.952 (4), 1.953 (3) and 1.946 (4) $\AA$, respectively]. The tripodal bridging amine N atom, N 7 , lies on the pseudo ternary axis of the molecule and is situated 3.427 (7) $\AA$ from the Fe centre. The $\mathrm{Fe} \cdots \mathrm{N} 7$ distance is too long for any interactions between the atoms to be considered. This crystal structure is very similar to the structure of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{py}_{3}\right.\right.$ tren $\left.)\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}$ reported previously (Kichner et al., 1987); this complex was obtained by mixing py $y_{3}$ tren with $\mathrm{FeCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NaBF}_{4}$ in methanol. The presence of the counter anion $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$instead of $\mathrm{PF}_{6}^{-}$ does not induce significant changes in the structure. Nevertheless, in order to perform a reliable comparison of (I) and (II), which are surprisingly different, it was necessary to obtain the molecular cationic complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{py}_{3} \text { tren }\right)\right]^{2+}$ crystallized with the same counter anion, $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$. The structure of (II) and its comparison with (I) are undergoing further study.

## Experimental

To a stirred solution containing $0.294 \mathrm{~g}(0.71 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{py}_{3}$ tren in methanol ( 15 ml ) was added a solution of 0.140 g ( 0.71 mmol ) of $\mathrm{FeCl}_{2} .4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ dissolved in methanol ( 5 ml ). The red solution that formed was stirred for 1 h at room temperature under air atmosphere, after which $0.347 \mathrm{~g}(2.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in methanol ( 5 ml ) was added to induce precipitation of the complex. The solution was filtered and the complex recrystallized from a $1: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} /$ acetone solution, yielding 0.42 g ( $78 \%$ ) of (I), crystals of which were suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{7}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$
$M_{r}=759.29$
Monoclinic
$P 2_{1} / c$
$a=14.806$ (2) $\AA$
$b=10.677$ (2) $\AA$
$c=19.669(5) \AA$
$\beta=108.55$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=2947.8(10) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
$D_{x}=1.71 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$

## Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4F
diffractometer
$\omega / 2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction:
$\psi$ scans
$T_{\text {min }}=0.92, T_{\text {max }}=1.00$
6292 measured reflections
5963 independent reflections
2802 observed reflections
$[I>3 \sigma(I)]$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F$
$R=0.051$
$w R=0.061$
$S=1.854$
2802 reflections
416 parameters
H -atom parameters not refined
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.63 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.38 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$
Atomic scattering factors from International Tables
for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fe | -0.27522 (5) | 0.24738 (8) | 0.19130 (4) | 2.84 (1) |
| P1 | 0.0784 (1) | 0.2261 (2) | 0.5988 (1) | 4.87 (4) |
| P2 | -0.5484 (1) | 0.2315 (2) | 0.3685 (1) | 4.95 (4) |
| F1 | -0.0323 (3) | 0.2281 (5) | 0.5703 (3) | 8.9 (1) |
| F2 | 0.1896 (3) | 0.2185 (6) | 0.6259 (3) | 12.7 (12) |
| F3 | 0.0755 (4) | 0.1572 (7) | 0.5291 (3) | 13.7 (2) |
| F4 | 0.0741 (4) | 0.1015 (5) | 0.6385 (3) | 11.9 (2) |
| F5 | 0.0822 (4) | 0.3482 (5) | 0.5588 (3) | 13.8 (2) |
| F6 | 0.0798 (5) | 0.2975 (7) | 0.6648 (3) | 17.1 (3) |
| F7 | -0.4434 (3) | 0.2807 (4) | 0.4045 (3) | 8.2 (1) |
| F8 | -0.6522 (3) | 0.1801 (5) | 0.3333 (3) | 8.9 (1) |
| F9 | -0.5266 (4) | 0.1169 (5) | 0.4164 (3) | 14.9 (2) |
| F10 | -0.5696 (4) | 0.3486 (5) | 0.3166 (3) | 11.4 (2) |
| F11 | -0.5169 (3) | 0.1631 (5) | 0.3084 (3) | 10.0 (1) |
| F12 | -0.5828 (3) | 0.3145 (5) | 0.4197 (2) | 10.5 (1) |
| N1 | -0.3295 (3) | 0.3756 (4) | 0.2375 (2) | 3.1 (1) |
| N2 | -0.4122 (3) | 0.2084 (4) | 0.1458 (2) | 2.7 (1) |
| N3 | -0.2698 (3) | 0.1144 (4) | 0.2607 (2) | 3.1 (1) |
| N4 | -0.2296 (3) | 0.1092 (4) | 0.1436 (2) | 3.3 (1) |
| N5 | -0.1433 (3) | 0.3006 (5) | 0.2325 (2) | 3.6 (1) |
| N6 | -0.2707 (3) | 0.3728 (4) | 0.1184 (2) | 3.0 (1) |
| N7 | -0.1807 (4) | 0.3049 (5) | 0.3716 (3) | 4.7 (1) |
| C1 | -0.4211 (4) | 0.3788 (5) | 0.2183 (3) | 3.6 (1) |
| C2 | -0.4715 (4) | 0.2845 (5) | 0.1677 (3) | 3.2 (1) |
| C3 | -0.5699 (4) | 0.2708 (6) | 0.1438 (3) | 4.2 (1) |
| C4 | -0.6087 (4) | 0.1747 (6) | 0.0963 (3) | 4.3 (2) |
| C5 | -0.5492 (4) | 0.0967 (6) | 0.0754 (3) | 4.2 (2) |
| C6 | -0.4524 (4) | 0.1160 (5) | 0.1004 (3) | 3.8 (1) |
| C7 | -0.2491 (4) | 0.0054 (5) | 0.2428 (3) | 3.7 (1) |
| C8 | -0.2221 (4) | -0.0030 (5) | 0.1782 (3) | 3.3 (1) |
| C9 | -0.1926 (4) | -0.1106 (6) | 0.1535 (3) | 4.4 (2) |
| C10 | -0.1643 (4) | -0.1052 (6) | 0.0929 (3) | 4.9 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cl1}$ | -0.1680 (4) | 0.0093 (6) | 0.0589 (3) | 4.4 (2) |
| C 12 | -0.2019 (4) | 0.1131 (6) | 0.0846 (3) | 4.0 (1) |
| C 13 | -0.1138 (4) | 0.3822 (6) | 0.1964 (3) | 3.9 (1) |
| C14 | -0.1850 (4) | 0.4284 (5) | 0.1308 (3) | 3.4 (1) |
| C15 | -0.1659 (4) | 0.5152 (6) | 0.0856 (3) | 4.4 (2) |
| C16 | -0.2394 (4) | 0.5496 (6) | 0.0254 (3) | 4.5 (2) |
| C17 | -0.3274 (4) | 0.4968 (6) | 0.0127 (3) | 4.2 (2) |
| C18 | -0.3416 (4) | 0.4080 (5) | 0.0593 (3) | 3.4 (1) |
| C19 | -0.2767 (4) | 0.4695 (5) | 0.2895 (3) | 3.9 (1) |
| C20 | -0.2405 (5) | 0.4128 (6) | 0.3649 (3) | 4.6 (2) |
| C21 | -0.2942 (4) | 0.1260 (6) | 0.3273 (3) | 4.2 (1) |
| C22 | -0.2124 (5) | 0.1829 (6) | 0.3882 (3) | 4.6 (2) |
| C23 | -0.0738 (4) | 0.2523 (7) | 0.2991 (3) | 4.6 (1) |
| C24 | -0.0863 (5) | 0.3160 (7) | 0.3654 (3) | 5.3 (2) |

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters ( $\AA \mathrm{A}^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $1.952(4)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $1.980(4)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $1.953(3)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 6$ | $1.979(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 5$ | $1.946(4)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 7$ | $3.427(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $1.983(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $81.0(1)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 6$ | $94.6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 6$ | $88.7(1)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $88.4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 5$ | $96.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{N} 7-\mathrm{C} 24$ | $120.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $175.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{N} 7-\mathrm{C} 22$ | $120.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $96.6(1)$ | $\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{N} 7-\mathrm{C} 24$ | $118.8(4)$ |

The empirical absorption correction was based on azimuthal $\psi$ scans of three reflections having a Eulerian $\chi$ near $90^{\circ}$ ( $k>100^{\circ}$ ) around the diffraction vector. The structure was
solved by direct methods using MULTAN11/82 (Main et al., 1982) and refined by full-matrix least-squares calculations, initially with isotropic and finally with anisotropic displacement parameters for non H -atoms. All H atoms were found from a difference Fourier map and included in the structurefactor calculations. All calculations were performed on DEC MicroVAX II and IBM RS/6000 computers using the EnrafNonius SDP-Plus (Frenz, 1985) and Xtal3.2 (Hall, Flack \& Stewart, 1992) systems. Molecular graphics were prepared using ORTEPLI (Johnson, 1976).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H -atom coordinates, complete geometry and root-mean-square amplitudes have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1171). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

## References

Deroche, A., Morgenstern-Badarau, I., Cesario, M., Guilhem, J., Keita, B. \& Nadjo, L. (1995). In preparation.

Frenz, B. A. (1985). Enraf-Nonius SDP-Plus Structure Determination Package. Version 3.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
Hall, S. R., Flack, H. D. \& Stewart, J. M. (1992). Editors. Xtal3.2 Reference Manual. Univs. of Western Australia, Australia, Geneva, Switzerland, and Maryland, USA.
Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
Kichner, R. M., Mealli, C., Bailey, M., Howe, N., Torre, L. P., Wilson, L. J., Andrews, L. C., Rose, N. J. \& Lingafelter, E. C. (1987). Coord. Chem. Rev. 77, 89-163.
Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. \& Woolfson, M. M. (1982). MULTAN11/82. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2246-2249

## fac-Tris(isothiocyanato)tris(triphenylphosphine oxide)iron(III) at 80 K

Zofia Olennk and Tadeusz Lis

Institute of Chemistry, University of Wroctaw, ul. Joliot-Curie 14, 50-383 Wroctaw, Poland

Iveta Ondrejkovičová
Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Slovak Technical University, 9 Radlinského St., 81237 Bratislava, Slovakia
(Received 21 February 1995; accepted 15 May 1995)


#### Abstract

The iron(III) complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NCS})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{PO}\right)_{3}\right]$ crystallizes in space group $R 3$. One complex molecule with no crystallographically imposed symmetry and one-third of


another molecule arranged about a threefold axis are contained in the asymmetric unit. Both molecules have almost the same geometry for the octahedral $\mathrm{FeO}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ chromophores, but opposite chirality of the arrangement of the ligands around the Fe atoms.

## Comment

Iron(III) triphenylphosphine oxide complexes of composition $\mathrm{FeX}_{3}\left(\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(X=\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}\right.$or $\left.\mathrm{SCN}^{-}\right)$ act as oxidation catalysts of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in acetonitrile solutions (Ondrejkovičová, Vančová \& Ondrejovič, 1983, 1991). These complexes show different catalytic activity, which depends not only on the redox potentials $X_{2} / X^{-}$but also on their crystal structures. Chloro and bromo complexes have the ionic structure $\left[\mathrm{FeX}_{2}\left(\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{Fe} X_{4}\right]$ (Durčanská, Głowiak, Kožišek, Ondrejkovičová \& Ondrejovič, 1989; Durčanská, Głowiak, Gyepes, Ondrejkovičová \& Ondrejovič, 1991) and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NCS})_{3}\left(\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ has a nonionic structure (Cotton \& Gibson, 1971).


The title compound, (I), the crystal structure of which we present here, exhibits catalytic properties similar to those of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NCS})_{3}\left(\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ (Vančová, Ondrejkovičová \& Ondrejovič, 1984). The unit cell contains twelve molecules of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{NCS})_{3}\left(\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$, in each of which three NCS ${ }^{-}$and three $\mathrm{OPP}_{3}$ ligands are coordinated at facial sites octahedrally around the Fe atom. Three of the complex molecules (type $A$ ) have crystallographic threefold symmetry and the remaining nine (type $B$ ), situated at general positions, have approximate threefold symmetry. In Fig. 1 an ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) view of both molecules projected along the $c$ axis is presented. As can be seen, molecules $A$ and $B$ have opposite chirality, probably imposed by the crystal packing and/or the arrangement of triphenylphosphine oxide ligands. More pronounced differences between the independent molecules $A$ and $B$ are observed in the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ C and $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angles (see Table 2), which are more acute in $A$. Both molecules have very similar coordination octahedra, the weighted r.m.s. deviation for the $\mathrm{FeO}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ cores being $0.0129 \AA$. All $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distances are almost equal and the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond angles do not exhibit deviations from

